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Introduction

Emerging data has been invading, in a daily bases, our lives with new evidence concerning the application of liquid biopsies in many solid tumours. Many are the advantages of liquid biopsies in relation to tissue biopsies, widely well known for those in the field of this new era of translational research [1]. Liquid biopsies consist in fact in searching for and collecting circulating tumour cells (CTCs) and/or circulating tumour DNA and RNA (ctDNA/RNA), from peripheral blood, have prognostic and predictive impact across the most common type of solid tumours. In the era of Precision Medicine liquid biopsies have revolutionized the era of translational research will direct benefit in the quality of care of our patients and with high public interest.
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Abstract

Liquid biopsies have for long received enormous attention due to its clinical immediate application in daily practice. This short review focuses in how the detection of circulating tumour cells (CTCs) and/or circulating tumour DNA and RNA (ctDNA/RNA), from peripheral blood, have prognostic and predictive impact across the most common type of solid tumours. In the era of Precision Medicine liquid biopsies have revolutionized the era of translational research will direct benefit in the quality of care of our patients and with high public interest.

Application in Solid Tumors

Breast Cancer has for long been a great concern for clinicians to detect progression in the metastatic context before traditional exams. A recent study, which included 30 patients with metastatic breast cancer in systemic treatment, demonstrated that when imagiological tests, such as X-ray and analytic testing with CA15 [3] when compared to ctDNA, were in favour of ctDNA. In fact ctDNA is a good biomarker with a superior sensitivity and with direct clinical application, by detecting early response to treatment and progression, when compared to classical evaluation (clinical, analytic and imagiological) [3]. In an even earlier study, in 2004, 177 patients with metastatic breast cancer were tested before treatment and in follow-up for CTCs in a prospective multicentre study. On that study, CTCs proved to be a positive prognostic factor, and an independent predictor of progression-free-survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in metastatic breast cancer [4]. The DETECT study proved that cell search test is one viable test with prognostic impact in the detection of metastases, PFS and OS in metastatic breast cancer [5]. In a prospective study with non-metastatic breast cancer detection of CTCs predicted early recurrence and decreased OS in chemo-naïve patients. In other words in the non-metastatic setting identification of CTCs also has prognostic relevance [6].

Concerning metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), the determination of CTC has demonstrated predictive and prognostic benefit in survival in patients submitted to treatment. One study enrolled 276 patients with progressive disease starting a new line of systemic treatment. They were monitored during follow-up. Once again, CTCs proved to be an independent predictor of OS. As a consequence of this study the Food Drug Administration (FDA) has validated its evaluation in CRPC patients [7]; CTCs were validated as a prognostic and also as a predictive factor. Some meta-analysis and systemic reviews of the published literature investigated the relationship between CTC and survival in prostate cancer patients [8]. Recently, studies have demonstrated that the detection of androgen receptor (AR) mutations, such as AR splice variants (AR-Vs), being AR-V7 the most common, its status (AR gain or
AR mutations) in CTCs or cfDNA detected by NGS, have demonstrated that the may be predictive of response or attribute a worse prognosis, in mCRPC [9]. In fact, AR-V7 status plays a relevant role in determining response to treatment with enzalutamide and abiraterone, but more prospective studies need to be performed [10]. AR point mutations such as L702H and T878A demonstrated resistance to abiraterone, and F877L point mutation demonstrated resistance to enzalutamide. Other important biomarkers have also been identified such as full-length AR (AR-FL), and AR copy number gain have also been detected either in cfDNA as well as in CTCs11. Recurrent gene fusion between the androgen-regulated gene TMPRSS2 and members of the ETS transcription factor family, most commonly ERG, are present in about 50% of prostate cancer cases. TMPRSS2-ERG has also been identified in CTCs, and the presence of this fusion gene is a critical event in the development of prostate cancer [11].

Colorectal cancer (CRC) has been no exception along the last years. CTCs have also proved to be a positive independent prognostic and predictive factor when evaluated for tumour response, PFS and OS in patients with metastatic CRC (mCRC) submitted to treatment, when compared to imagiological evaluation [12]. The study referenced, allowed the FDA to validate the test “CellSearch” to be now commercially available. Nevertheless, further studies have been promoted and the detection of KRAS mutations in cfDNA obtained from a simple blood withdrawal, in patients already submitted to treatment with an anti-EGFR therapy, have detected these acquired mutations in sub-clones of disease, before computerized tomography (CT) imaging [13]. This is another advantage of this modern technology which allows the detection of resistance to therapy, also in mCRC. Recent meta-analysis, has shown that mCRC have a heavy burden of cfDNA detected in blood stream and bear a strong prognostic value. On the other hand, tumour specific mutations detected in cfDNA have an extreme importance in choosing target therapies in mCRC [14]. Not only in specific anti-EGFR therapies have liquid biopsies shown its importance, as has the detection of Multidrug resistance-associated protein 1 (MRP1) expression in CTCs shown resistance to chemotherapy in mCRC, such as irinotecan based chemotherapy [15]. Also the detection of thymidylate synthase expression in CTCs has also predicted resistance to 5-fluorouracil therapy in mCRC [16].

Gastric cancer in the metastatic context has for long, been somehow left behind in this field. But as all others, CTCs detection in blood plasma has also been useful as prognostic, for monitoring response to treatment and also for predicting relapse [17]. Nevertheless, recent advances in the era of target therapy have occurred. In patients with negative tissue samples for HER2, concerning the TOGA study, amplification of HER2 was identified in CTCs. They showed a similar response to trastuzumab-based therapies, as those with positive HER2 tissue samples [18].

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) DNA samples have been withdrawn from asymptomatic participants and also from patients with positive results for nasopharyngeal cancer, in a prospective study [19]. The authors concluded that EBV DNA detected in blood samples is a good biomarker for screening asymptomatic patients, and this study also demonstrated a high sensitivity and specificity in the results [19].

Lung cancer has for long been a disease, where the acquisition of a new tumour samples, either for diagnosis, detection of resistance to therapy [20], has been a challenge for clinicians (oncologists, pulmonologists and intervention radiologists among others). In September 2014, European Medicines Agency (EMA) has approved the clinical use of detection of cfDNA for determination of EGFR mutations, primary or secondary [20], as an option when tumour samples are unavailable or painless and difficult to obtain [21]. It has been approved with effect in all European Union member countries. A prospective study has just at last minute, validated that the baseline presence of more than or equal to a total of five CTCs in advanced NSCLC, will confer poor prognosis for these patients [22].

Lung cancer has been in some way a pioneer, within most common cancers, where advances have been more emancipated during the last years. After a long period, where science seemed to be static in time, lung cancer has leapt in time. cfDNA has been proven to be a good prognostic, predictive factor for long. It has allowed screening to be performed within a wider screen at a genetic level, enabling early detection, determine clonal heterogeneity and evaluate residual disease after surgery. In the metastatic context, evaluate response to treatment, resistance to therapy (primary and secondary) and relapse [1].

New and Future Perspectives

Many of the studies referred above, have proved that liquid biopsies, number of CTCs or cfDNA detected before treatment, have demonstrated to be a predictive factor with prognostic value. In fact, Bettegowda et al. have already proven since 2014 a correlation between quantification of cfDNA, stage and tumour burden either in colon, breast or lung cancer [23].

Owing to many studies occurring worldwide and with the recent necessity of a uniform validation, an OncoNetwork Global Consortium has been created. By this way, all scientists will use the same language and the same techniques to make science and its development in translational research, a unique language. It’s owing to these international efforts that patients, will fortunately, benefit of new modernized investigational techniques, which will fortunately improve the quality of care of our patients. Medicine is taking a 360° clockwise turn, favoring the era of Precision Medicine.
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